Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # 124 Case file # 95-262888.

Material Examiner:

Malone (RQ)

Remarks:

Case resulted in trial, transcript not provided.

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

| Independent Review conducted by: | | | Steve Robertso | on · | | <u> :</u> | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|-------------|-------|--------------|--------------|
| | | | | · · · | | | |
| Area(s) of Experti | se: Hair | and Fibe | r | | | | |
| Review commence | ed at: 9: | 15 AM | (Time), | 11/14/00 | (Date |) | |
| File #: 95-262 | .888 | | | | | | |
| Laboratory #(s): | 4082703 | 7 | | | | | |
| | 4082051 | | | | | | |
| | | | Examiner(s | s) & Symbol | | | |
| | Rev | riewed | Not Reviewed | | | Reviewed | Not Reviewed |
| RQ | : | X□ | | | | | |
| WS | - | 0 | Χ¤ | | | | |
| | | | 0 | | | 0 | |
| Trial testimony tr | anscript(s) | of: | Material | s Reviewed | | | |
| | ny Date(s): | | | Pages: | | | |
| Laboratory Report(| (s): | | | | | | |
| Laboratory Number: 408 | | 4082 | 27037 | | Date: | Jan 28, 1985 | |
| Laboratory Number: | | 4082 | 27037, 40828051 | | Date: | Feb 11, 1985 | |
| Laboratory Number: | | | 1 | | Date: | | |
| Examiner Bench Notes of: | | RQ | | | | • | |
| Laboratory Number: | | : 4082 | 27037 | | _ | | |
| | | 4082 | 28051 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | Page 1 | of 4 | | | |
| | | | Initials: | Suc | | | |

| las any other ma | • | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|----------------------|
| If yes, please ide | entify and/or describe the material: | Submitting agency | letters of 8/ | /21/84 a | und 8/21/84 | |
| | | | | <u> </u> | | |
| · · · | | | · | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Result | ts of Review | | | | |
| File #: 95-26 | 2888 Item or Sp | pecimen # Reviewed: | Q4, Q10 | 0, Q13, | Q22, Q23, | Q30, |
| 38, Q43, Q51, Q | Q53, Q63, Q67, Q70, Q77, Q79, Q89 | 9, <u>K3, K6, K9, K12, </u> | C16, K18, K | 19 | | |
| | Review of Laboratory | Report(s) and Be | ench Note | s: | | |
| | Note: Numbered comme | | | | 7 | |
| | additional pages for any "No" o | or "Unable to Detern | mine" Resp | onses | | |
| methods | examiner perform the appropriate test, protocols, and analytic techniques a | sts in a scientifically available at the time | acceptable rof the origi | manner, nal exa o Deten | mination(mine ately docum | s)? |
| methods Are the | examiner perform the appropriate test, protocols, and analytic techniques a examination results set forth in the latch notes? | sts in a scientifically available at the time Yes DX No | acceptable r of the origi □ Unable to | manner, nal exa o Deten | mination(mine ately docum | s)? |
| methods Are the | examiner perform the appropriate test, protocols, and analytic techniques a examination results set forth in the latch notes? | sts in a scientifically available at the time Yes | acceptable rof the origium Unable to Unable to Unable to | manner, nal exa o Detern adequa o Detern | mination(mine ately docum | s)? |
| methods Are the | examiner perform the appropriate test, protocols, and analytic techniques at examination results set forth in the latch notes? Review Note: Numbered common additional pages for any "No" | sts in a scientifically available at the time Yes | acceptable rof the origium Unable to Unable to Unable to | manner, nal exa o Detern adequa o Detern | mination(mine ately docum | s)? |
| Methods Are the the bence | examiner perform the appropriate test, protocols, and analytic techniques at examination results set forth in the latch notes? Review Note: Numbered common additional pages for any "No" | sts in a scientifically available at the time Yes | acceptable rof the origium Unable to Unable to Unable to | manner, nal exa o Detern adequa o Detern ponses | mination(mine ately docum | s)? |
| Methods Are the the bence X Transcript not the the the the the the the the the th | examiner perform the appropriate test, protocols, and analytic techniques at examination results set forth in the latch notes? Review Note: Numbered commandational pages for any "No" | sts in a scientifically available at the time Yes DX No aboratory report(s) support of Testimony: ents are required be or "Unable to Deter | acceptable rof the origitude Unable to Unable | manner, nal exa o Detern adequa o Detern ponses | amination(| nented in |
| Methods Are the the bence TX Transcript not Testimony con Testimony con | examiner perform the appropriate test, protocols, and analytic techniques at examination results set forth in the last h notes? Review Note: Numbered commandational pages for any "No" of available. | sts in a scientifically available at the time Yes | acceptable r of the origi Unable to pported and Unable to clow or on mine" Res | manner, nal exa o Detern adequa o Detern ponses | umination(smine stelly documented stelly documen | nented in Determine |

Page 2 of 4

Initials:

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable. Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

| File #: | 95-262888 | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|------------|---|--------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| #1. The ex | xaminer failed to | compare the pu | ıbic hair recove | red from the victim's | panties (Q70, Q | 79) and from the |
| victim's p | oubic combings (| Q77) to the vict | im's known pul | oic hair (K16). The no | tes indicate the p | pubic hair were |
| compared | to the suspect's | head hair (K18 |) instead of the | suspect's pubic hair (| K19). | |
| There is n | o documentation | concerning the | tests used to id | entify the twine (Q64 | , Q65, Q93) as b | eing made of |
| flax. The | lack of this docu | mentation is sci | ientifically unac | ceptable | | |
| | | | | and the second s | | |
| #2. The re | esults are not ade | quately docume | ented in the note | es. The notes are not d | ated, are in pend | cil and not ink and |
| use abbre | viations that are | lifficult to inter | pret (such as "N | И- lt dist, lt indist, dk | dist" and "cut-ss | p" to describe |
| some of t | he characteristics | of the hair). | | | | |
| The repor | rted result of the | wine being cor | nposed of flax i | s not supported or ade | quately docume | nted in the notes. |
| | | | | | | |
| Review c | ompleted at: | 10:30 AM | (Time), | 11/14/2000 | (Date) | |
| Total time | e spent conductin | g review (to ne | earest 1/4 hour): | 1.25 hour | | |
| | ertify that I condu ocumented on thi | | | dent, unbiased manner pages | | ults of my review |
| | | | 1 | (Signature) | | (Date) |
| | | | · | | | |

Page 3 of 4

Initials:

Additional Comments

| | (Set forth by question #, if applicable) | 25 |
|------------------------------|--|----------------|
| File #: 95-262888 | | |
| | | |
| #2 (continued). There is ins | sufficient documentation that lead to the reported conclusion that the | ne Q92 and Q93 |
| twine samples are different | to the other twine samples. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| · <u>-</u> | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | <u> </u> |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | |

Page 4 of 4

ţ

Initials: